

POLITICAL SCIENCE-LEGAL NARRATIVE: PROBLEMS, CHALLENGES, OPPORTUNITIES. SOME REMARKS BY THE EXAMPLE OF RESEARCH FROM THE BORDERLINE OF POLITICAL SCIENCE AND PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW

Abstract

By the example of research from the borderline of political science and public international law, the authors examine problems, challenges and opportunities facing common political science-legal scientific narrative. The aim of this article is to stimulate discussion on opportunities for political science-legal research in Poland, as well as on opportunities for formulating coherent common narrative. The argumentation is based on the assumption, according to which integration of research methods and techniques, perspectives and theories, political science and legal knowledge, and synergy of narratives, present in indicated disciplines, could increase their scientific potential, explanatory potential. Among five main conclusions from their research experiences and considerations, the authors claim *i.a.* that: political science-legal narrative has a chance to fully develop only in the conditions of consequent, institutionalized cooperation between representatives of both disciplines; political scientists and legal scholars must be aware of transgenicity of their disciplines; common political science-legal narrative must be grounded in substantively and methodologically justified research plan, it must relate to empirical and/or theoretical findings being the result of common research, and it must be recognized as logical consequence of acceptance of complementarity of social sciences' disciplines.

Keywords: law, methodology, narrative, political science, public international law.

JEL Codes: C18, D72, F59, K30, K33